




The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ


I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed 

Dated 16 December 2004


BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form.)



1/77

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

LRD-GB-1-466

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0329106.9

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

K.U.Leuven Research and Development - Groot Begijnhof 69 - 3000 Leuven

Represented by Dr. Ivo Roelants, IPR Officer

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

Belgium

7665649003

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

16DEC03 E859819-1 D10059
P01/7700 0.00-0329106.9 ACCOUNT CHA

4. Title of the invention

Pressure driven separations of liquid feeds.

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

K.U.Leuven R&D

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

care of:

Hubert Velge

Neaves Cottage

Neaves Lane - Glyndebourne

East Sussex BN8 5UA

8007916003

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
See note (d))

Yes

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 16Claim(s) 0Abstract 1Drawing(s) —

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) 2Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

1 fax cover sheet

1 fee sheet with request for fax back service

11.

Dr. Ivo Roelants - IPR Officer

✓ We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

16/12/2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Hubert Velge
+44 7840 540 397

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0646 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Pressure driven separations of liquid feeds.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to the use of membranes prepared from filled elastomers for the separation of liquids in a pressure driven membrane separation process.

10 In a pressure driven membrane process, two or more components are separated over a membrane by means of a pressure gradient, generated by a gas pressure or a mechanical pressure. The pressure driven membrane processes on liquid feeds can be divided in 4 groups, depending on the applied pressure, for which typical values are given in table 1 (Mulder, 1996).

15

Membrane process	Typical pressure (bar)	Typical flux (l/(m ² .h.bar))	Morphology of the selective layer
Microfiltration	0.1-2	>50	Porous
Ultrafiltration	1-5	10-50	Porous
Nanofiltration (Hyperfiltration)	5-20	1.4-12	Porous/Dense
Reverse Osmosis	10/100	0.05-1.4	Dense

Table 1: Pressure driven membrane processes.

20 In the past, these processes have mainly been used in the treatment of water. Recently, a growing interest exists to use these processes in the treatment of non-aqueous streams. Examples of applications are: separation of dyes, surfactants, enzymes or proteins out of solvents, fractionation of polymers, separation of dissolved catalysts from products and solvents, separation of pharmaceutical intermediates and products from solvents, separation of triglycerides and phospholipids from oil miscella, oil deacidification,

25

recovery of extraction solvents, separation of hydrocarbons and lube oils from solvents, solvent exchange, ...

For pressure driven processes are porous membranes commonly used. The present 5 invention, however, relates to the use of dense membranes in pressure driven separation processes of liquid feeds, more in particular to membranes with a selective layer prepared from a filled elastomeric polymer. Typical but not exclusive examples of such elastomeric polymers are polydimethylsiloxane (silicone rubber), polyisobutene (butyl rubber), polybutadiene, polychloroprene, polyisoprene, styrene-butadiene rubber, 10 acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR), ethene-propene-diene-rubber (EPDM) and acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene(ABS) (Mulder, 1991).

It has been found that elastomers have a high permeability rendering them suitable for the manufacture of membranes for use in pressure driven separation processes of liquid 15 feeds, however excessive swelling in certain solvents and higher temperatures can lead to unacceptably low membrane selectivity.

Most elastomers have limited chemical resistance and tend to swell or even dissolve in 20 most of the solvents. When preparing membranes from these materials, this characteristic mostly results in a high permeability, but in a rather poor selectivity and stability. When coated on a support layer, the swollen elastomer can crack or delaminate from the substrate, because of increased interfacial tensions. This decreased selectivity and stability gets even more problematic at high temperatures.

25 In pressure driven membrane processes, the use of additional cross-linking (e.g. WO 96/27430) and the selection of more stable rubbers, like fluorosilicones (e.g. US 4,748,288), have been suggested as solutions for this kind of problem.

30 A solution to this swelling problem could eventually be the use of toplayers based on filled elastomers. Membranes with toplayers based on silicone rubber are currently used in pressure driven membrane separations of non-aqueous solutions. US Patents 5,265,734 and 5,205,934 describe the preparation of silicone-derived solvent stable

membranes. These membranes are used to separate catalysts from solvents and products (US 5,681,473), for solvent recovery and partial deacidification of vegetable oils (Raman *et al.*(1996)),... Bitter (US 4,748, 288) used a silicone based membrane to separate hydrocarbon oils from solvents, while Banushali *et al.* (2001) used a similar membrane from Osmonics to investigate transport mechanisms. None of these membranes contained fillers.

5 Present invention, proposes a solution to the swelling problem by use of filled or hybrid elastomeric membranes for the separation of liquid feeds via pressure driven process.

10 The selective top-layer of these membranes consists of an elastomer in which an organic or inorganic filler is dispersed.

15 One type of preferred fillers are molecular sieves or porous materials with nanometer dimension (0.3–10 nm) windows, channels and cavity architectures such as zeolites and mesoporous materials. Apart from zeolites and mesoporous materials, other fillers like 20 silica, alumina, titania and carbon molecular sieves can be used or any particle from an inorganic or organic nature, which are in a solid state and interact chemically and/or physically with the elastomer to cause an additional cross-linking sufficient to reduce the swelling of the elastomer membranes in high-swelling solvents and/or at high temperatures.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 This invention includes the use of filled elastomers in the separation of liquid feeds via pressure driven membrane processes. Elastomers are interesting materials, because of their high permeability. However, excessive swelling in certain solvents and at higher temperatures can lead to unacceptably low membrane selectivities. In this invention, strongly interacting fillers are added to the elastomers resulting in maintained high 30 membrane selectivity in pressure driven membrane separation of liquid feeds, even in high-swelling solvents and at higher temperatures.

One embodiment of present invention is pressure-driven separation of liquid feeds using a membrane, consisting of an elastomer in which a filler is dispersed in such a way that

strong (filler/matrix) interactions limit the swelling of the membrane. These membranes can be elastomeric comprising a filler that results in a swelling reduction of the elastomeric membrane of at least 3 %. In a preferred embodiment the swelling reduction results in an increase of the rejection of the elastomeric membrane for solutes of at least 5 3 %. Preferably the filler is a zeolite and most preferably the zeolite has a ZSM-5 structure or a USY structure. In one embodiment the elastomer is a polysiloxane and preferably the polysiloxane is a polydimethylsiloxane or the elastomer can be EPDM.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

10

As stated, this invention relates to membranes of which the selective layer consists of a filled elastomer. Following the ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) standards, 'elastomers' are defined as "macromolecular materials that return to approximately the initial dimensions and shape after substantial deformation by a weak 15 stress and release of the stress". Elastomers are sometimes also referred to as 'rubbery materials'. A 'rubber' is defined as "a material that is capable of recovering from large deformations quickly and forcibly, and can be, or already is, modified to a state in which it is essentially insoluble (but can swell) in boiling solvent, such as benzene, toluene, methyl ethyl ketone, and ethanol/toluene azeotrope".

20

The most important elastomers are polyisoprene (natural or synthetic rubber (IR)), polychloroprene (chloroprene rubber (CR)), butyl rubber (BR), styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR), acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR), ethene-propene-diene-rubber (EPDM), acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), chlorosulfonated polyethylene (CSM), 25 polyacrylate (polyacrylic rubber), polyurethane elastomers, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, sometimes more generally referred to as silicone rubber), fluorosilicones and polysulfides.

In this invention, the most important characteristic of elastomers is the chemical 30 resistance. Most elastomers experience changes upon contact with organic solvents, in the following manner:

1. Swelling
2. Dissolution

3. Breaking of chemical bonds by

A. Hydrolysis/solvolysis

B. Oxidation

4. Combination of 1,2 and 3

5

In table 2, indications of the chemical resistance of different elastomers are listed (Harper, 1975). Most elastomers have limited chemical resistance and tend to swell or even dissolve in most of the solvents. When preparing membranes from these materials, this characteristic mostly results in a high permeability, but in a rather poor selectivity and stability. When coated on a support layer, the swollen elastomer can crack or delaminate from the substrate, because of increased interfacial tensions. This decreased selectivity and stability gets even more problematic at high temperatures. In pressure driven membrane processes, the use of additional cross-linking (e.g. WO 96/27430) and the selection of more stable rubbers, like fluorosilicones (e.g. US 4,748,288), have been suggested as solutions for this kind of problem.

	Alcohols	Aromatic solvents	Aliphatic solvents	Chlorinated solvents	Esters
IR	Good	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
BR	Good	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
SBR	Good	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
EPDM	Good	Fair	Poor	Poor	Poor
CSM	Good	Poor	Fair	Poor	Poor
CR	Good	Fair	Good	Fair	Good
NBR	Good	Good	Excellent	Fair	Poor
PDMS	Excellent	Fair	Good	Good	Good
Fluorosilicone	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good
Polysulfides	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good
Polyurethane	Fair	Good	Excellent	Fair	Poor
Polyacrylate	Poor	Fair	Good	Fair	Poor

Table 2: Chemical resistance of elastomers in organic solvents.

Poor: *dissolution of elastomer*; Fair: *strong (excessive) swelling, little dissolution of elastomer*; Good: *swelling, no dissolution*; Excellent: *no changes*

5 This invention relates to the addition of fillers to the elastomer. In this invention, fillers are defined as particles from an inorganic or organic nature, which are in a solid state and interact chemically and/or physically with the elastomer to cause an additional cross-linking. Hence, the swelling of the elastomer in high-swelling solvents and at high temperatures is reduced.

10 The most important characteristic of the filler related to this invention is the additional cross-linking, which is a result of a chemical and/or physical interaction between the filler. The most relevant method to reveal whether or not additional cross-linking is established, is to measure the swelling of the filled elastomers in high-swelling solvents, like toluene, ethyl acetate... and to compare the swelling with the swelling of the
15 unfilled membrane.

Swelling measurements typically proceed as follows:

Dried pieces of the membrane are weighed and submerged in the solvent until swelling equilibrium is reached. The swelling S of membrane x is then:

$$20 S_x(\text{ml/g}) = \frac{\left(\frac{m_{eq} - m_0}{m_0} \right)}{\rho(\text{solv})}$$

where m_{eq} = weight of the membrane at swelling equilibrium, m_0 = weight of the dried sample and $\rho(\text{solv})$ = density of the used solvent (g/ml).

The swelling reduction ΔS in a certain solvent can be expressed by following equation:

$$25 \Delta S(\%) = \frac{S_{ref} - S_{filled}}{S_{ref}} \times 100$$

where ΔS = swelling reduction, S_{ref} = swelling of the membrane without filler in this solvent and S_{filled} = swelling of membrane with filler in the same solvent.

30 The swelling reduction ΔS for a given elastomer depends on the type of filler, its interactions with the elastomer and the filler content. This patent relates to membranes

for which the swelling reduction caused by the addition of a filler leads to a swelling that decreases by at least 3 %, preferably more than 30 %.

5 The fillers can be inorganic or organic. Zeolitic fillers, silsesquioxanes, alumina, zirconia titania, clay minerals and silicas are examples of the possible inorganic fillers, while graphite, powdered coal and carbon black materials are examples of organic particles.

10 Different kinds of interactions can take place. Elastomers can physically adsorb on fillers, like the adsorption of non-functionalised PDMS chains on the free hydroxyl sites of the filler [Litvinov *et al.*, 1991]. Another example is the adsorption of rubbers on carbon blacks caused by London-dispersion interactions between the rubbers and surface carbon atoms. Very strong interactions can occur when chain segments of the rubber are sterically retained by the surface topology of the filler (Leblanc, 2000).

15 When functional groups exist on the elastomers, one can aim at chemical (covalent, coordinative or ionic) bonding between these functional groups and groups present on the filler. One example is the two-component PDMS system, where one component carries hydride groups and the other vinyl groups. It is possible to induce a reaction 20 between the hydrides on the polymer and the silanols of a zeolitic filler to form a siloxane-bond. Silsesquioxanes offer other opportunities to generate covalent bonds via the diversity of functionalities (vinyl groups, hydride groups, silanol groups, methacryl groups, ...) that can exist on their surface.

25 Fillers with insufficient affinity for the elastomer can be modified to improve the interaction between filler and elastomer or to convert less reactive functionalities into more reactive ones. These modifications often lead to better dispersions of the filler in the elastomer. One example is the silylation of silica to improve its dispersion in PDMS films.

30 In the preparation of the hybrid membrane, the filler is first dispersed in an appropriate solvent. To improve the dispersion, ultrasonic wave treatment, high speed mixing, modification reactions, ... can be applied. The filler can be treated first in a mortar or a

ball mill. Obviously, the dispersing solvent should be able to dissolve the elastomer as well, or at least, should be partially miscible with the solvent in which the membrane forming polymer is dissolved.

5 The content of solid components, i.e. filler and polymer, in the dispersion, may range from 1 wt% to 70 wt%, preferably 5 wt% to 20 wt%. The dispersion is stirred for a certain time to allow (polymer/filler) interactions to establish, to improve dispersion and possibly to let a chemical reaction take place. When appropriate, the dispersion can be heated.

10

Solvent casting or coating is used as the membrane preparation process. The (polymer/filler) dispersion can be cast on a non-porous support from which it is released afterwards to form a self-supporting film. It is more preferred to coat the dispersion on a polymeric or ceramic support with surface pores in the range from 5 to 1000 ANG, 15 preferably from 10 to 50 ANG. This porous support can be treated first, for instance to diminish intrusion. One way to realise this is by soaking it previously with a solvent, which has a low affinity for the dispersion. Also, the support can be treated with adhesion promoters.

20 After casting or coating, the solvent is evaporated and, if necessary, a heat treatment can be applied to finish the cross-linking reactions. The heat treatment can possibly occur under vacuum conditions to remove the remaining solvent. The resulting supported membranes have a dense separating layer, which consists of a filled elastomer. The thickness of this selective layer can range from 0.01 μm to 100 μm , preferably from 0.1 25 μm to 10 μm .

30 The resulting membranes are used in pressure driven membrane processes with liquid feeds, like microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, hyperfiltration and reverse osmosis. The membranes are typically used to treat feed solutions that consist of solutes dissolved in a solvent system. The feed solution is separated by the filled elastomeric membranes into a solute enriched retentate and a more dilute permeate. The solutes are organic or inorganic molecules with a molecular weight that can range from 50 to 10000 Dalton, preferably 200 to 1000 Dalton. The solvent system can be one solvent, which is

part of the aromatic hydrocarbons, the aliphatic hydrocarbons, halogenated solvents, alcohols, ketones, ethers, aldehydes, esters, nitriles, amines,... or can be combinations thereof. The pressure applied as a driving force for transport ranges from 0.5 to 100 bar, more preferably from 5 to 50 bar. Working temperatures typically, but not exclusively, range from 0°C to 100°C.

Examples

Materials

10 The PDMS (RTV-615 A and B, density 1.02 g/ml) and the adhesion promotor (SS 4155) were obtained from General Electric Corp. (USA). Component A is a prepolymer with vinyl groups. Component B has hydride groups and acts as cross-linker. EPDM (Keltan 578) was kindly provided by DSM.

15 ZSM-5 CBV 3002 and USY CBV-780 were supplied by PQ-corporation and dried at 110°C before use.

	<i>USY CBV-780</i>	<i>ZSM-5 CBV-3002</i>
<i>SVAI</i>	20	240
<i>Crystal size(µm)</i>	0.4-0.8	1-1.5
<i>BET (m²/g)</i>	738(micro-) + 174 (meso-+ macro-)	405
<i>Void volume</i>	0.316(micro-) + 0.316 (meso-+ macro-)	0.1275

The main characteristics of the zeolitic fillers used

The PAN support was kindly provided by VITO, Belgium.

20

Example 1: Swelling experiments on unfilled PDMS membranes (as a reference)

A PDMS solution was prepared in hexane with the RTV 615 A and RTV 615 B components present in a 10/1 ratio, as proposed by the manufacturer to be the ratio for optimal curing. The mixture was prepolymerised for 1 h at 60°C and poured in a petridish. The solvent was allowed to evaporate for several hours and the resulting film was cured at 100°C. Pieces of the resulting membrane were weighed and submerged in the solvent until swelling equilibrium was reached.

Solvent	Swelling (ml/g)
Ethyl acetate	0.7
Hexane	1.39
Toluene	1.01

Table 1: Swelling for PDMS membranes, measured at room temperature.

5 Example 2: Swelling experiments on PDMS-membranes filled with ZSM-5 CBV-3002

The zeolite powder was dispersed in hexane. To improve the dispersion, a treatment of one hour in an ultrasonic bath was applied to break crystal aggregates. The cross-linker (RTV 615 B) was added to the zeolite dispersion and this mixture was stirred at 40°C for two hours to allow sufficient time to establish strong interactions between both phases. Finally, the prepolymer (RTV 615 A) was added and the mixture was stirred for another hour at 60°C. The (PDMS/ZSM-5 CBV 3002) solution was poured in a petridish and treated the same way as described in Example 1. The swelling of the membrane loaded with 30 wt% zeolite was measured and compared with the swelling of the reference membrane prepared in Example 1. The content of the solid components (i.e. PDMS and filler) in the casting solution was 18.5 wt%. The RTV 615 A/B ratio for optimal polymer curing was this time adjusted to 7 in order compensate for the loss of hydride groups due to their reaction with the surface silanol groups on the zeolite.

Solvent	S_{ref} (ml/g)	S_{mer} (ml/g)	ΔS (%)
Toluene	1.01	0.80	20
Ethyl acetate	0.7	0.496	28
Hexane	1.39	0.380	38

20 Table 2: Comparison of the swelling of a reference PDMS membrane and a ZSM-5 filled PDMS membrane at room temperature.

Temperatuur (°C)	S_{ref} (ml/g)	S_{mer} (ml/g)	ΔS (%)
30	0.168	0.278	40
40	0.203	0.363	44
50	0.220	0.448	51
60	0.269	0.533	51

Table 3: Comparison of the swelling in 2-propanol of a reference PDMS membrane and a ZSM-5 filled PDMS membrane as a function of temperature.

Example 3 : Swelling experiments on PDMS-membranes filled with USY CBV-780

The zeolite powder was dispersed in hexane. To improve the dispersion, a treatment of 5 one hour in an ultrasonic bath was applied to break crystal aggregates. The cross-linker was added to the zeolite dispersion and this mixture was stirred for two hours at 40°C. Finally, the prepolymer (RTV 615 A) was added in an RTV 615 A/B ratio adjusted to 7 and the mixture was stirred for another hour at 60°C. The (PDMS/USY CBV 780) solution was poured in a petridish and treated the same way as described in the 10 procedure of Example 1.

The content of the solid components (i.e. PDMS and filler) in the casting solution was 20 wt%, corresponding to a 30 wt% zeolite loading in the final membrane.

Solvent	S_{ref} (ml/g)	S_{filler} (ml/g)	ΔS (%)
Toluene	1.01	0.420	58
Decane	1.39	0.520	63
Ethyl acetate	0.70	0.160	77

15 *Table 4: Comparison of the swelling of a reference PDMS membrane and a USY CBV-780 filled PDMS membrane at room temperature.*

Example 4 : Swelling experiments on EPDM-membranes filled with USY CBV-780

20 EPDM is poured in hexane and dissolved at 60°C. The filler is added to the EPDM solution and a treatment of one hour in an ultrasonic bath was applied to break crystal aggregates. The (EPDM/filler) solution was poured in a petridish and treated the same way as described in the procedure of Example 1.

25 The content of the solid components (i.e. EPDM and filler) in the casting solution was 5 wt% in the case USY CBV-780, corresponding to a 30 wt% filler loading in the final membrane.

Solvent	S_{ref} (ml/g)	S_{filler} (ml/g)	ΔS (%)
Diethylether	1.90	1.20	36
Ethyl acetate	0.172	0.167	3

30 *Table 5: Comparison of the swelling of a reference EPDM membrane and a USY CBV-780 filled EPDM membrane at room temperature.*

Example 5: Filtration experiments with unfilled PDMS membrane (as a reference)

The membranes used in Examples 1-5 to determine the swelling were self-supporting in order to minimize the experimental error on the measurements. On the other hand, the membranes for the filtration experiments are thin films cast on a supporting layer in order to allow sufficiently high fluxes. A 14 wt% PDMS solution (RTV 615 A/B = 10/1) in hexane was prepolymerised for 1 h at 60°C. The PAN support was saturated with water and glued to a glass or INOX plate. The excess of water was wiped away with a humid tissue and the support was treated with the adhesion promotor, before coating the PDMS solution on the impregnated support. The plate was tilted at an angle 45° and the polymer solution was poured on the PAN support. After evaporation of the hexane, cross-linking was completed in a vacuum oven at 100°C. The thickness of the resulting membrane was 8 µm, as determined via SEM.

15 Filtrations were done in a stainless steel nanofiltration cell with 15.2 cm² membrane surface area. The feed solution consisted of a 0.207 g/l Wilkinson catalyst solution in a given solvent. 50 ml of the feed solution was poured in the cell, the cell was heated to the desired temperature and subsequently pressurised with nitrogen to 16 bar. Permeate samples were collected in cooled flasks as a function of time, weighed and analyzed. All 20 reported values are equilibrium measurements.

The solvent flux $J_{solvent}$ (l.m⁻².h⁻¹.bar⁻¹) is the total amount permeated (l) per unit time (h), per square meter of membrane (m²) and per unit of pressure (bar).

25 The rejection R (%) at steady state is a measure for the ability of a membrane to retain a certain solute. It is defined as follows:

$$R(\%) = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}\right)$$

with C_p the concentration in the permeate and C_f the concentration in the feed.

PDMS	Flux (l/(m ² .h.bar))			Retentie (%)		
	30°C	50°C	80°C	30°C	50°C	80°C
Toluene	1.15	1.50		78	0	
Ethyl acetate ^a	1.14	1.25		62	0	

<i>DCM</i>	1.54	1.61 ^a	81	6 ^a
<i>Acetone</i>	0.48	0.64	70	0
<i>2-propanol</i>	0.24	0.28 ^b	52	0 ^b

*Table 6: Flux and Wilkinson catalyst retention values for the unfilled PDMS membrane.*Pressure : 16 bar; ^atested at 40°C; ^btested at 45°C

Example 6: Filtration experiments with PDMS membranes filled with ZSM-5 CBV-3002

5 The (PDMS/ZSM-5 CBV 3002) dispersion of Example 2 was coated on a PAN support following the procedure of Example 6.

The content of the solid components (i.e. PDMS and filler) in the coating solution was 18.5 wt%, corresponding to a 30 wt% zeolite loading in the final membrane. The thickness of the resulting membrane was 20 μm , as determined via SEM. The filtration was tested as described in Example 6.

10

PDMS+ZSM-5 CBV 3002	Flux (l/(m ² .h.bar))			Retention (%)		
	30°C	50°C	80°C	30°C	50°C	80°C
Toluene	0.58	0.72	0.84	98	98	88
Ethyl acetate ^a	0.55	0.66	0.87	97	97	84
DCM	0.7	0.77 ^a		90	43	
Acetone	0.17	0.25		94	92	
2-propanol	0.031	0.061 ^b		98	98 ^b	

Table 7: Flux and Wilkinson catalyst retention values for the PDMS membrane filled with ZSM-5 CBV-3002. Pressure: 16 bar; ^a tested at 40°C; ^b tested at 45°C

Example 7: Filtration experiments with PDMS membranes filled with USY CBV-780

15

The zeolite powder was dispersed in hexane. To improve the dispersion, a treatment of one hour in an ultrasonic bath was applied to break crystal aggregates. The cross-linker was added to the zeolite dispersion and this mixture was stirred for two hours at 40°C. Finally, the prepolymer (RTV 615 A) was added in an RTV 615 A/B ratio adjusted to 20 6.5 and the mixture was stirred for another hour at 60°C. The (PDMS/USY CBV-780) solution was coated on the PAN support, as in Example 6.

The content of the solid components (i.e. PDMS and filler) in the coating solution was 20 wt%, corresponding to a 30 wt% zeolite loading in the final membrane.

PDMS+USY CBV 780	Flux (l/(m ² .h.bar))		Retention (%)	
	30°C	50°C	30°C	50°C
Toluene	0.18	0.27	98	98
Ethylacetate	0.20		94	
Acetone	0.10		95	

Table 8: Flux and Wilkinson catalyst retention for the PDMS membrane filled with USY CBV 780. Pressure: 20 bar

Example 8: Filtration experiments with EPDM membranes filled with USY CBV-780

5 The (EPDM/USY) dispersion of Example 4 was coated on a PAN support following the procedure of Example 6.

<i>Ethyl acetate</i>	<i>Flux (l/(m².h.bar))</i>	<i>Retention (%)</i>
EPDM	0.07	35
EPDM + USY CBV-780 (30 wt%)	0.047	75

Table 9: Flux and Wilkinson catalyst retention for the EPDM membrane filled with USY CBV-780. Pressure: 30 bar, Temperature: 50 °C

10

References to this application

Bhanushali D., Kloos S., Kurth C. and Bhattacharyya D. (2001) J. Mem. Sci. 189, 1-21

Bitter J.G.A., Haan J.P. and Rijkens H.C. (1988) US 4,748,288

5 Chen X., Ping Z. and Long Y. (1998) J. Appl. Pol. Sci. 67, 629-636

Harper, C.A. (1975), Handbook of plastics and elastomers, MacGraw-Hill New York

Jia M.-D., Peinemann K.-V. and Behling R.-D. (1991) J. Mem. Sci. 57, 289-296

Leblanc J.L. (2000) J. Appl. Pol. Sci., 78, 1541-1550.

Linder C., Nemas M., Perry M. and Katraro R. (1993) US 5,205,934

10 Linder C., Nemas M., Perry M. and Katraro R. (1993) US 5,265,734

Litvinov V.M. and Spiess H.W. (1991) Makromol. Chem. 192, 3005-3019

Miller J.F., Bryant D.R., Hoy K.L., Kinkade N.E. and Zanapalidou R.H. (1997) US 5,681,473

Mulder, M. (1996) Basic Principles of Membrane Technology, Kluwer

15 Qureshi N., Meagher M.M. and Hutchins R.W. (1999) J. Mem. Sci. 158, 115-125

Ratman L.P., Cheryan M. and Rajagopalan. (1996) J. Am. O.C. Soc. 73, 219-223

Tantekin-Ersolmaz B., Senorkyan L., Kalaonra N., Tatlier M. and Erdem-Senatalar A. (2001) J. Mem. Sci., 189, 59-67

te Hennepe H.J.C. (1988) PhD thesis, University of Twente, The Netherlands

20 te Hennepe H.J.C., Bargeman D., Mulder M.H.V. and Smolders C.A. (1987) J. Mem. Sci., 35, 39-55

Vankelecom I.F.J., Depré D., De Beuckelaer S. and Uytterhoeven J.B. (1995) J. Phys. Chem., 99, 13193-13197

Pressure driven separations of liquid feeds.**5 CLAIMS**

What is claimed, is

1. The pressure-driven separation of liquid feeds using a membrane, consisting of
10 an elastomer in which a filler is dispersed in such a way that the filler matrix
interactions limit the swelling of the membrane.
2. The membrane separation of claim 1, wherein said elastomeric membrane
15 contains a filler that results in a swelling reduction of the elastomeric membrane
of at least 3 %.
3. The membrane separation process of claim 2, wherein said swelling reduction
20 results in an increase of the rejection of the elastomeric membrane for solutes of
at least 3 %.
4. The membrane separation of claim 2, wherein said filler is a zeolite.
- 25 5. The membrane separation of claim 4, wherein said zeolite has a ZSM-5
structure.
6. The membrane separation of claim 4, wherein said zeolite has a USY structure.
- 30 7. The membrane separation of claim 2, wherein said elastomer is a polysiloxane.

8. The membrane separation of claim 7, wherein said polysiloxane is a polydimethylsiloxane.

5 9. The membrane separation of claim 2, wherein said elastomer is EPDM.

10

18

Pressure driven separations of liquid feeds.

ABSTRACT

5

Membranes consisting of an elastomeric matrix with dispersed fillers are used in the pressure driven separation of liquid feeds. The fillers are selected in such a way that the interactions between the filler and the elastomer keep the membrane swelling limited, resulting in higher rejections.

10

19

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/BE04/000177

International filing date: 16 December 2004 (16.12.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: GB
Number: 0329106.9
Filing date: 16 December 2003 (16.12.2003)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 24 January 2005 (24.01.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.